

Whose NOI Is It?

The most common cause of a repriced or dead file is a coverage ratio built on the borrower's own income statement. Deriving cash flow independently moves the argument to before the term sheet, where it belongs.

Robert S. Stewart Jr.

FOUNDER & CEO, CR EQUITY AI, INC.

AUGUST 2026 · 8 MIN READ

\$141.8K ILLUSTRATIVE PROCEEDS GAP BETWEEN PRO FORMA AND DERIVED NOI	3 WAYS A PRO FORMA OVERSTATES INCOME WITHOUT ANYONE LYING
7 LINE ITEMS INDEPENDENTLY SOURCED ON EVERY FILE	1.20x COVERAGE COLUMN PUBLISHED ON EVERY VALUATION

Every experienced sponsor has lived through the retrade. Terms are issued, third-party reports are ordered, money is spent, and then — two weeks before closing — the proceeds come down. The explanation is always some version of the same sentence: our underwriter took another look at the operating statement.

That sentence describes a process failure, not a credit event. The information that caused the reduction was available on day one. It was simply not examined until day thirty, because the initial sizing was run on the number the borrower supplied.

SECTION 01

Three ways a pro forma overstates income

Start with the assumption that the sponsor is honest, because in the overwhelming majority of cases they are. A pro forma still drifts high, structurally, for three reasons that have nothing to do with intent.

Omission of non-cash and deferred costs. An owner-operator's actual statement reflects what they spent. It does not reflect what a lender must assume: a management fee even when the owner self-manages, replacement reserves even

when nothing was replaced last year, and a vacancy factor reflecting market conditions rather than the owner's own excellent tenant retention.

Stale insurance. This is now the single largest source of NOI variance we see, particularly in coastal and Sun Belt markets. The binder in the file is last year's. The quote the new owner will actually receive, on a new entity with a new loan, is frequently forty to eighty percent higher. Nobody misrepresented anything; the market moved.

Pre-sale tax basis. A property assessed years ago at a value far below the contract price will be reassessed after transfer in most jurisdictions. Underwriting to the seller's tax bill is underwriting to a number that will not exist by the second year of the loan.

SECTION 02

What the independent build-up looks like

The alternative is straightforward and, once automated, nearly free: the lender constructs the income statement itself, line by line, from sources that do not belong to either party. Consider a twelve-unit property valued at \$1,500,000, where the sponsor is requesting \$1,175,000 of cash-out proceeds.

EXHIBIT 1**Pro forma versus derived: a twelve-unit worked example**

Same property, same rent roll, two methods of arriving at net operating income.

LINE	BORROWER PRO FORMA	INDEPENDENTLY DERIVED	SOURCE USED
Gross potential rent	\$178,800	\$174,000	Market rent comparables and FMR schedule
Vacancy & credit loss	(3.0%) \$5,364	(7.0%) \$12,180	Submarket vacancy, program floor
Real estate taxes	\$14,000	\$21,500	Assessor record, post- transfer basis
Insurance	\$8,400	\$13,800	Current carrier quote, subject entity
Management	\$0	\$9,708	6% of effective income, program minimum
Repairs & maintenance	\$6,600	\$8,400	\$700 per unit floor
Replacement reserves	\$0	\$3,000	\$250 per unit
Administrative & turnover	\$28,700	\$3,600	Reallocated from blended line
Net operating income	\$115,736	\$101,812	Delta: \$13,924
Supportable loan at 1.20x	\$1,178,300	\$1,036,500	Proceeds gap: \$141,800

Illustrative. Assumes 7.25% interest, 30-year amortization, 1.20x minimum coverage. Not an offer of terms; actual sizing depends on program, market, asset condition and credit profile.

A \$13,900 difference in annual cash flow — roughly twelve percent — produces a \$141,800 difference in proceeds. That is the entire retrade, visible on day one, expressed in a table the sponsor can argue with line by line while there is still time to do something about it.

The disagreement is not eliminated. It is relocated to the beginning of the process, where it costs nothing.

SECTION 03

The data waterfall, and what happens when a source is silent

Independence is only credible if the sourcing is disciplined. Each line follows a documented waterfall: a primary commercial data provider first, then public record, then a modeled estimate as a last resort — and critically, the class of source actually used is stored on the field itself, not assumed.

That last point does more work than it appears to. When an underwriter can see that a coverage ratio rests on two modeled inputs rather than two verified ones, they treat it differently, condition it differently and price it differently. A silent fallback — where a system quietly substitutes a weaker source and presents the result with the same confidence as a verified one — is among the more dangerous defects a valuation stack can carry, and it is invisible unless provenance is a stored field.

See the derivation, not just the answer

Every line item in an AIVAA cash flow carries its source class and its operands. Nothing is asserted without a lineage.

[LEARN MORE](#)

SECTION 04

The coverage matrix

One output convention changes the tenor of the entire conversation. Rather than publishing a single supportable loan amount, we publish three columns on every file.

- ◆ **Current.** Coverage at the requested proceeds and quoted terms. This tells the sponsor where they actually stand today.

- ◆ **1.00x.** The proceeds at which the property exactly services its debt. This is the break-even, and it is the number that matters if rents soften.
- ◆ **1.20x.** The proceeds at program coverage — the sizing the file will clear without an exception.

Three numbers instead of one converts an opaque decision into a negotiation with visible parameters. A sponsor who sees that the gap between requested and supportable is \$141,800 can bring \$141,800, reduce the request, add a rate buydown, or make the case for an exception with a mitigant. A sponsor who sees only a reduced number has nothing to work with but frustration.

SECTION 04B

When the sponsor is right and the model is wrong

An independently derived number is not automatically a correct one, and a lender that treats its own output as unchallengeable has replaced one bad habit with a worse one. There are specific, recurring situations where a conservative derivation understates a property's real economics, and every one of them is curable with evidence.

- ◆ **Below-market leases with near-term expirations.** A property carrying legacy rents thirty percent under market is not a weak asset; it is an asset with a schedule. The cure is a lease-by-lease expiration table with market comparables attached, so the derived rent can be weighted toward the achievable rather than the historical.
- ◆ **Recent capital expenditure.** A roof, boiler or full turn completed last year legitimately suppresses forward maintenance. Invoices and permits move the repairs line; an assertion does not.
- ◆ **Expense structure changes.** Sub-metering utilities, converting to a triple-net structure, or bringing a property onto a portfolio insurance policy all change the operating basis in ways a comparable-based estimate cannot see. Documentation of the change — not the intention to make it — is what moves the number.
- ◆ **Short-term and mid-term rental income.** Where the strategy is documented, the platform history is verifiable and the jurisdiction permits it, the income is real. It is also more volatile, so it carries a different vacancy assumption rather than a rejection.
- ◆ **Insurance quoted below the modeled figure.** If a sponsor has a bindable quote below our estimate, the quote wins. This happens regularly and we adjust every time.

The operating principle is symmetry. If we require evidence to underwrite a sponsor's optimism, we owe the same responsiveness when their evidence beats our estimate. A derivation that only ever moves in one direction is not independence; it is a haircut with better documentation. The exception register captures both directions, and reviewing where our derived figures are consistently rebutted is one of the more useful signals we get about where the model needs work.

SECTION 05

How to make the two numbers agree

Sponsors ask what they can do to narrow the gap. The honest answer is that most of it is documentation, and it is all available before submission.

- ◆ Get a current insurance quote on the acquiring entity, not a copy of the seller's binder. This is the largest single variance and the easiest to remove.
- ◆ Pull the assessor record and estimate the post-transfer reassessment yourself. If your jurisdiction reassesses on sale, model it.
- ◆ Present rent at the unit level with lease copies, not as a blended figure. Verified rent survives underwriting; asserted rent gets discounted.
- ◆ Separate your operating expenses into standard categories rather than a single administrative line. Blended lines get reallocated conservatively, and conservative reallocation always costs you.
- ◆ If you self-manage, underwrite a management fee anyway. Every lender will impute one; better that you see the deal the way the credit does.

None of that is sophisticated. It is simply the work of arriving at the lender's number before the lender does — which is, in the end, the whole discipline. The sponsors who consistently close on their original terms are not the ones with the best pro formas. They are the ones whose pro formas were never going to be surprised.

Robert S. Stewart Jr. is Founder and Chief Executive Officer of CR Equity AI, Inc., an AI-native specialty real estate private credit and commercial lending platform. A U.S. military veteran and licensed real estate professional in Florida and Virginia, he founded CR Equity AI in 2021 and leads development of AIVAA™, the firm's proprietary underwriting and valuation engine. [Learn more at crequity.ai/aivaa](https://crequity.ai/aivaa).

SOURCES & FURTHER READING

1. U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development — Fair Market Rent schedules.
2. Cotality (CoreLogic) — Single-Family Rent Index and related rent series.
3. County assessor and tax authority records; carrier-issued insurance binders.
4. Bloomberg — property insurance cost escalation coverage.
5. Yahoo Finance and Forbes — rent growth data and operator commentary on expense creep.

NEXT STEP

Get an independent read on your property's cash flow.

AIVAA derives net operating income from third-party rent, tax and insurance data, then publishes the coverage matrix at current, 1.00x and 1.20x — so you see the gap before the appraisal does.

[CLICK FOR MORE INFORMATION](#)[SEE HOW AIVAA UNDERWRITES](#)

© 2026 CR Equity AI, Inc. · 2308 Mount Vernon Ave, Suite 206, Alexandria, VA 22302.

This publication is for educational and informational purposes only and does not constitute financial, legal, tax or investment advice. It is not a commitment to lend. All loan products, rates, terms and leverage are subject to underwriting, credit approval, property eligibility and change without notice. Business-purpose lending only. Worked examples are illustrative and do not represent an offer of terms. Figures cited reflect data available as of the publication date and are drawn from the sources listed. Third-party publications and organizations referenced are not affiliated with, and do not endorse, CR Equity AI, Inc.